THE PHOTOLYSIS OF N.N-DIMETHYLACRYLYLMETHACRYLAMIDE

Robert T. LaLonde and Radovan I. Aksentijevich Department of Chemistry State University College of Forestry at Syracuse University Syracuse, New York 13210

(Received 2 November 1964; in revised form 16 November 1964) Following a known procedure for the preparation of N,N-diacylamides (1), N,N-dimethacrylylmethacrylamide, $\underline{1}^{**}$ [m.p. 102-103°, $\lambda_{\max}^{CH_3OH}$ 221 mu (ϵ 22,100), $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{300} = 189, \lambda_{\max}^{\text{CHCl}_3} 5.68, 5.81, 5.89 \text{ (shoulder)} 6.07 \text{ (strong) and } 11.39_{\text{ul}}$ has been obtained in 51% yield by treating methacrylyl chloride (2 moles) in chloroform solution with methacrylamide in the presence of pyridine at -20°. This N.N-diacylamide





^{*}Support of this work by a grant (GM07863-02) from the National Institutes of Health is gratefully acknowledged. **Satisfactory analyses were obtained for all newly prepared compounds.

²³

was irradiated in ether solution (2%) using a Pyrex-jacketed General Electric AH6 lamp in an attempt to prepare the cyclohexane derivative 2. The mixture obtained from the photolysis of 1 consisted of 16% unconverted 1, 18% of a high melting substance (presumably polymeric), 5% of an imide (m.p. 195-196°) and 61% of a photoisomer [m.p. 110-112° (depressed on mixing with 1), mol. wt. obsvd.: 226 (calcd.: 221)]. The infrared spectrum of the photoisomer showed bands 5.67, 5.89 and 6.11 u (weak) while the n.m.r. spectrum* revealed a vinyl multiplet at 4.11 (2H), a methyl absorption at 8.67 (6H) and a second methyl absorption at 7.97 imposed on the B protons of an $A_{2B_{2}}$ pattern. The total peak area of methyl protons and the ApBo pattern was equivalent to seven protons. Clearly these physical properties demonstrated that the photoisomer was not the desired 2 but rather one of the three possible cyclobutane derivatives, structures 3a - 3c (R = -C-C = CH₂). The A_2B_2 pattern for methylene protons is consistent with structure 3c, as well as the cis and trans ring-fused structures 3a and 3b, on the basis of observed(2) long-range coupling over four bonds involving protons bearing a transoid relation to one another.

Hydrogenation of the photoisomer employing a palladium on charcoal catalyst gave the dihydrophotoisomer (m.p. 70-71°, $\lambda_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ 5.58, 5.72, 5.88,u) whose n.m.r. spectrum demonstrated the presence of an isopropyl group [methyl doublet centered at 8.77 (J = 7 cps.) and a septuplet centered at 6.92 (J = 7 cps., 1H)] and therefore confirmed that one of the three methacrylyl groups of <u>1</u> had not entered into the photolytic transformation. Other pertinent features of this spectrum were a methyl resonance at 8.64 (totaling 12 protons with the isopropyl doublet) and an A₂B₂ pattern

^{*}All n.m.r. spectra except that of the anhydride, 5, were run as 10% solutions in deuterochloroform using a Varian A-60 spectrometer. The anhydride was run as a saturated solution in deuterochloroform. Chemical shifts are given in p.p.m. relative to tetramethylsilane as 10.00.

centered at 7.67 (4H). Hydrolysis of the dihydrophotoisomer with 10% sodium hydroxide in methanol-water afforded a dicarboxylic acid [neut, equiv, obsvd.: 87,3 (calcd.: 86.1), $\lambda_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ 3.10-3.50 and 5.85 [] whose melting point of 158-159.5° is different from the reported (3) melting points of either of the two isomeric dicarboxylic acids considered to be cis- (m.p. 134-135°) and trans-1,2-dimethylcyclobutane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid (m.p. 237-238*).* The n.m.r. spectrum of our dicarboxylic acid showed the carboxylic acid resonance at -1.2, the methyl resonance at 8.51, and most significantly, an AX quartet centered at 7.45 with $J_{AX} = 13.5$ cps. and $\Delta_{AX} = 76$ cps. The observation that the dicarboxylic acid gives a simple AX pattern for the methylene protons is uniquely consistent with the structure of cis-1,3dimethylcyclobutane-cis-1,3-dicarboxylic acid, 4 (R=H). Both cis- and trans-1,2-dimethylcyclobutane-1,2-dicarboxylic acids would be expected to give A_2B_2 patterns for the methylene protons as is in fact the case for cis- and trans-1,2-dichloro-1,2-dicyanocyclobutane and methyl cis- and trans-1,2-dibromocyclobutane-1,2-dicarboxylate (4). Conversion of our dicarboxylic acid to an anhydride (m.p. 200-200.5°, $\lambda_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ 5.52 and 5.66 μ) also demonstrated the cis arrangement of the carboxylic acid groups. The n.m.r. spectrum of this anhydride exhibited the characteristic A_2B_2 pattern for the methylene protons (centered at 7.76) in addition to the methyl resonance at 8.64.

Since the dicarboxylic acid obtained from the photoisomer has the 0 CH₃ structure 4 (R=H), it follows that the photoisomer must be 3c (R = -C-C = CH₂).

^{*}These two acids were obtained by the hydrolysis of the dimethyldicyanocyclobutanes obtained from the thermal dimerisation of methacrylonitrile (3). The dimers were considered to be the <u>cis-</u> and <u>trans-1,2-dimethyl-1,2-di-</u> cyanocyclobutanes, rather than the 1,3-isomers on the basis of analogy to the thermal dimerization of acrylonitrile which produces 1,2-dicyanocyclobutanes. It is now certain that the structure assignments for the cyclobutane dimers of methacrylonitrile are correct since both dimers show a characteristic A2B2 pattern. The A2B2 pattern would not be expected should the dimers be the <u>cis-</u> and <u>trans-1,3</u> isomers.

The transformations in terms of this structure are summarized below.



When the dihydrophotoisomer, $\underline{3c} (R = -\overset{Q}{-}CH(CH_3)_2)$ is hydrolyzed with less concentrated base, the imide $\underline{3c} (R = H) (m.p. 195-196^\circ, \lambda_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ 2.92, 5.68, 5.76) is produced in addition to the acid $\underline{4} (R = H)$. This imide could be hydrolyzed to the dicarboxylic acid $\underline{4} (R = H)$ and was found to be identical with the imide produced from the photolysis of $\underline{1}$. The n.m.r. spectrum of the imide shows the characteristic A_2B_2 pattern for the methylene protons.

The particularly striking feature of the photolysis of $\underline{1}$ is the specificity of the photoisomerization process in affording only the cyclobutane derivatives $\underline{3c}$ (R = -C-C = CH_2 , H). The path of the photoisomerization undoubtedly is controlled by stereoelectronic factors. Of the possible photoisomerization routes, only in those where the methacrylyl groups are arranged in a head-to-tail fashion would both the steric interference of approaching groups and the degree of twisting of the \mathcal{P} -orbital of the conjugated methacrylyl groups be minimized in the transition state(5) A Dreiding model of $\underline{1}$ shows these conditions are met best in the arrangement of methacrylyl groups leading to $\underline{3c}$.

A second noteworthy aspect of the work reported herein is the difference in the type of methylene n.m.r. resonance exhibited by the bridged cyclobutanes (amides, imide, and anhydride) and non-bridged cyclobutanes [dicarboxylic acid and dimethyl ester, $\underline{4} (R = CH_3) (\lambda_{max}^{CC1_4} 5.77 \mu)$]. While the bridged cyclobutanes give A_{2B_2} patterns, the non-bridged cyclobutanes give simple AX quartets. This result provides an interesting example of the dependence of long-range coupling on geometry, specifically on the puckering of the cyclobutane ring with the resulting development of a transoid relation of protons. An attempt was made to observe the dimethyl ester $\underline{4} (R = CH_3)$ in its two puckered conformations. However, the n.m.r. spectrum determined at 30° and $-50°^*$ in deuterochloroform were essentially identical; both spectra exhibited an AX quartet centered at 7.53 (J_{AX} = = 13.5 cps., $\Delta_{AX} = 66$ cps.). The spectrum determined at -50° showed no signs of the widening of lines nor the development of a complex pattern between the inner two lines of the AX quartet.

REFERENCES

- (1) Q. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 5841 (1951).
- (2) For a review of long-range proton coupling in bridged polycyclic compounds, see P. Laszlo and P. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>86</u>, 1171 (1964). For another recent example, see C. W. Jefford, W. v. Philipsborn, C. Viel, B. Waegell, A. Melera, Abstracts of the L48th Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, August 1964, p. 82-S.
- (3) C. J. Albisetti, D. C. England, M. J. Hogsed and R. M. Joyce, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>78</u>, 472 (1956).
- (4) B. Lustig, J. Chem. Phys. <u>37</u>, 2725 (1962).
- (5) Internal head-to-tail photoaddition of olefins has been observed previously, for example, R. Srinivasan, J. Phys. Chem. <u>67</u>, 1367 (1963).

^{*}Attempts to examine the dimethyl ester in carbon disulfide solution at -100° failed because the solutions unexpectedly formed a glass at this lower temperature.